Exercise, APOE, and working memory: MEG and behavioral evidence for benefit of exercise in epsilon4 carriers
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Performance on the Sternberg working memory task, and MEG cortical response on a variation of the Sternberg task were examined in middle-aged carriers and non-carriers of the APOE \textit{e}4 allele. Physical activity was also assessed to examine whether exercise level modifies the relationship between APOE genotype and neurocognitive function. Regression revealed that high physical activity was associated with faster RT in the six- and eight-letter conditions of the Sternberg in \textit{e}4 carriers, but not in the non-carriers after controlling for age, gender, and education (\textit{N}= 54). Furthermore, the MEG analysis revealed that sedentary \textit{e}4 carriers exhibited lower right temporal lobe activation on matching probe trials relative to high-active \textit{e}4 carriers, while physical activity did not distinguish non-carriers (\textit{N}= 23). The M170 peak was identified as a potential marker for pre-clinical decline as \textit{e}4 carriers exhibited longer M170 latency, and highly physically active participants exhibited greater M170 amplitude to matching probe trials.
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1. Introduction

Presence of the \textit{e}4 allele of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene constitutes a significant risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Corder et al., 1993; Saunders et al., 1993). However, \textit{e}4 alone is neither necessary nor sufficient for development of dementia. Although carriers of the \textit{e}4 allele account for almost 50% of late-onset AD cases compared with only 15% frequency in the general population (Corder et al., 1993; Saunders et al., 1993; Strittmatter et al., 1993\textsuperscript{a,b}), presence of \textit{e}4 does not always result in dementia, suggesting interactive effects of APOE genotype with other genes or lifestyle factors.

One lifestyle factor known to influence healthy brain aging is physical activity. Exercise can protect and even enhance brain function through upregulation of neurotrophic factors (Cotman and Berchtold, 2002), increased neurogenesis (Pereira et al., 2007; van Fraag et al., 1999, 2005), increased blood flow (Pereira et al., 2007), reduced oxidative stress (Kiraly and Kiraly, 2005), and even reduction of beta-amyloid, one of the hallmarks of AD (Adlard et al., 2005). Clinical exercise trials in normal aging populations have shown improved cognition (Colcombe and Kramer, 2003), changes in cortical function (Colcombe et al., 2004), and increased brain volume (Colcombe et al., 2006) following exercise. However, less is known about the impact of exercise on AD, or populations genetically at risk for AD such as carriers of the \textit{e}4 allele.

Schuit et al. (2001) fostered the notion that \textit{e}4 carriers should be a target population for exercise trials. In a prospective study they reported that physical activity was associated with dramatically reduced cognitive decline in the \textit{e}4 carriers, while non-carriers of the allele did not exhibit much decline regardless of activity. At face value, the idea that at-risk populations may exhibit the greatest benefit from exercise is both appealing and logical. Although exercise benefits everyone, those genetically at risk for decline might have the greatest capacity to benefit from preventive strategies. The Schuit et al. finding was supported by two additional studies reporting that physical activity was associated with greater impact on cognition in \textit{e}4 carriers than in non-carriers (Etnier et al., 2007; Rovio et al., 2005), while at least one study has...
found that participation in physical activity was associated with reduced risk of AD in non-carriers of the ε4 allele, but not in carriers (Podewils et al., 2005).

The majority of these studies have used clinical diagnosis of dementia (Podewils et al., 2005; Rovio et al., 2005), or changes in Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) scores (Schuit et al., 2001) as outcome variables, which are not sensitive to pre-clinical cognitive aging. While this represents an important starting point for the study of exercise and dementia, the ideal goal is to prevent or slow onset of decline in normal populations at who are at future risk for AD. As such, identification of measures sensitive to pre-clinical decline and appropriate preventive strategies are crucial. Although Etzioni et al. (2007) studied non-demented subjects and reported a positive association between fitness and cognitive performance, their findings extended only to homozygous ε4 carriers, and the trend they observed did not achieve significance in the heterozygous carriers. Cumulatively, these findings illustrate the need for more sensitive research measures in this population.

The current study capitalizes on previously identified measures sensitive to the earliest decline in ε4 carriers, specifically speed of processing during executive function working memory challenge (O’Hara et al., 2007), and measures of cortical activation (Bookheimer et al., 2000; Green and Levey, 1999; Smith et al., 1999; Wetter and Murphy, 2001). Speed of processing was examined using the Sternberg working memory task with letter set sizes of 4, 6, and 8. Main effects were predicted for both exercise level and APOE genotype such that carriers of the ε4 allele were expected to exhibit longer reaction times (RT) relative to non-carriers, and highly physically active participants were expected to exhibit shorter RT. Consistent with the predictions of Etzioni et al., Rovio et al., and Schuit et al., an interaction of physical activity level and genotype was predicted with the magnitude of the exercise effect expected to be more pronounced in ε4 carriers.

We also extend the known literature by examining magnetoencephalographic (MEG) measures of cortical activation in a subsample of participants during a variation of the Sternberg task, motivated by multiple studies showing ε4-related differences in resting cerebral metabolism (Reiman et al., 1996, 2001, 2004, 2005), cerebral activation during cognitive challenge (Bondi et al., 2005; Bookheimer et al., 2000; Smith et al., 1999; Trivedi et al., 2006), and latency of cortical response (Green and Levey, 1999; Wetter and Murphy, 2001).

Although MEG has not previously been used to study APOE-related differences in cortical activation, Maestu et al. (2001) have shown AD patients to exhibit decreased MEG cortical activation in the temporal and parietal regions relative to normal participants during performance of the Sternberg task. Our goal was to examine whether carriers of the ε4 allele exhibit similar deficits in cortical activation during working memory challenge, and whether this relationship is altered by physical activity level. Physical activity and aging studies have previously demonstrated that on executive function and attention tasks physically active individuals exhibit greater cortical activation as assessed by event-related potentials (ERPs) (Hillman et al., 2006) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response (Colcombe et al., 2004). Greater activation has been attributed to an increased ability of frontal attention circuits to recruit task-relevant brain regions in the aerobically trained participants (Colcombe et al., 2004). As such, ε4 carriers were predicted to exhibit decreased activation in the temporal and parietal regions relative to non-carriers, and physically active participants were expected to exhibit increased amplitude of cortical activation relative to sedentary participants. Once again an interaction between exercise and physical activity level was predicted to reveal the effects of exercise to be greater in ε4 carriers.

Furthermore, latency of event-related potentials have been shown to distinguish cognitively normal carriers of the ε4 allele from non-carriers, with ε4 carriers exhibiting longer latency on both N200 and P300 components (Green and Levey, 1999; Wetter and Murphy, 2001). However, decreased ERP latency has been shown to be associated with physical activity in the elderly. Hillman et al. (2006) reported that both amplitude and latency of the P300 component were sensitive measures of the benefits of physical activity, with the latter reflecting a more maintained speed of processing in the physically active older adults. Again, main effects for genotype and exercise level were predicted with ε4 carriers expected to exhibit longer latency ERPs, while a high level of exercise was expected to be associated with decreased latency, especially in ε4 carriers. Although previous exercise and aging studies have employed target detection tasks to optimally engage the frontal attention circuits, we chose to employ a working memory task known to engage the temporal lobes in normal subjects, a region susceptible to early decline in AD patients (Maestu et al., 2001). As such, we predicted that cortical activation in the temporal region was most likely to distinguish the groups.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Seventy-five participants between the ages of 50 and 70 were recruited through newspaper ads, local running events (marathon and 10 km), campus faculty and staff, and other ongoing research studies. After reading and signing informed consent, all participants underwent an initial screening visit to determine physical activity level, cognitive status, APOE genotype and health history. Physical activity level was determined using the Yale Physical Activity Survey for Older Adults (DiPietro et al., 1993). Participants were screened for dementia using the Cambridge Cognitive Exam (CAMCOG; Section B of the CAMDEX-R; Roth et al., 1986). Any participants with a history of neurological or psychiatric disorder were excluded from further participation. A 10 ml blood sample was drawn from the antecubital vein for APOE genotyping.

2.2. Neurocognitive screening

The CAMCOG was administered to determine cognitive status of all participants and screen for cognitive impairment. The maximum score possible was 105 rather than 107 due to removal of two questions, which were considered not applicable given the context of the testing room. Scores ranged from 84 to 101 (mean = 94.8; S.D. = 3.8), and all participants scored within the normal range for their age group (Williams et al., 2003).

2.3. APOE genotype

The APOE gene has three common alleles: ε2, ε3, and ε4, representing specific combinations of alleles at two nearby missense polymorphisms: Cys112Arg (rs429358) and Cys158Arg (rs7412). Allele frequencies in Caucasians are approximately 10, 75, and 15% for the ε2, ε3, and ε4 alleles, respectively. Genotyping was carried out on DNA extracted from whole blood using standard restriction digest procedures. Participants were classified as carriers of the ε4 allele (ε4+) if they were either heterozygous (ε3/ε4) or homozgyous (ε4/ε4) for ε4. No statistical distinction was made between heterozygous and homozygous carriers of the ε4 allele as there were only three homozygous carriers in the sample. Non-ε4 carriers (ε4−) were either heterozygous (ε2/ε4) or homozgyous (ε3/ε3) for ε3. ε2/ε4 subjects were excluded from behavioral and MEG testing as the ε2 allele is considered protective against dementia, while the ε4 allele conveys increased risk. All researchers collecting and scoring data were blind to APOE genotype.

2.4. Exercise

Physical activity was assessed via interview with the Yale Physical Activity Survey (YPAS) for Older Adults (DiPietro et al., 1993). Administration of the YPAS requires about 25 min, and records both minutes and intensity of weekly physical activity, ranging from household (cooking, cleaning) to recreational (e.g. bowling, dancing etc.) and exercise activities (e.g. aerobic and strength training activities). The scale yields total minutes of activity and total caloric expenditure (kcal) per week. The later was used as our measure of overall physical activity.

2.5. Recruitment for Sternberg behavioral and MEG participants

In order to enrich our sample for ε4 carriers, recruiting advertisements for the study targeted participants with a family history of AD. However, family history
was not considered for participation, and was not used for selecting or separating participants into groups. As such, any genetic bias known to influence dementia other than APOE would be expected to be spread equally across all participants (e4 carriers and non-carriers). Because all participants were volunteers, and as such, a large percentage were motivated by their family history to respond to our recruitment strategies, our sample of recruits included approximately 30% carriers of at least one E4 allele, an increase over the expected population frequency of 20–25%. All participants who were willing and eligible completed the behavioral Sternberg testing, while recruitment into MEG testing was more selective in an attempt to balance the sample for genotype and physical activity as described below. Additional factors excluding participants from MEG testing included claustrophobia due to the confines of the MEG scanner, and any metal in the head or upper extremities (dental work, metal implants, or glasses necessary for vision), which is fairly common in this age group. Of the 75 participants screened 9 were excluded for health reasons, or because they simply chose not to participate further. Six were excluded for genetic reasons (e2/e4, n = 3; unable to determine genotype, n = 3). Of the remaining 60 participants, 54 completed the behavioral Sternberg testing and 23 completed the MEG Sternberg testing. Seventeen participants completed both testing sessions (behavioral and MEG), while six of the MEG participants chose not to participate in the behavioral testing due to personal time constraints.

2.5. Behavioral participants

The mean age of the 54 participants completing the behavioral testing was 59.9 (S.D. = 4.6) (Table 1). Thirty participants were male and 24 were female. Thirty-eight participants were e4– and 16 were e4+, 3 of which carried two e4 alleles.

2.5.2. MEG participants

Due to a smaller sample of participants used for brain imaging, MEG participants were screened further to yield an extreme groups comparison for highly active versus sedentary participants. Participants were considered high-active if they engaged in aerobic exercise at least three times per week, while low-active participants did not participate in regular strenuous aerobic exercise. Aerobic exercise level was determined using the exercise portion of the YPAS (i.e. those items listed under the “Exercise” heading of the questionnaire, and additional activities reported which engage the aerobic system such as racquetball, basketball, etc.). Our goal was to recruit both highly active aerobic exercisers and non-aerobic exercisers, while excluding participants who reported only one or two bouts of light exercise per week. The high-active participants scored significantly higher on the exercise portion of the YPAS (Table 1) than the low-active participants selected (F(1,19) = 8.52, p = .009). Twenty-three participants completed the MEG testing with a mean age of 59.5 years (S.D. = 5.05) (Table 1). Fourteen participants were designated as high-active, and nine as low-active. Nine participants were e4+, and one was homozygous (high-active group). Fourteen were e4–. This yielded an Exercise level (2) × Genotype (2) design, with an n of 9, 5, 5, and 4 (high-active/e4–, low-active/e4+, high-active/e4+, low-active/e4+, respectively in each cell).

e4 carriers were significantly younger than non-carriers (F(1,19) = 6.52, p = .019). The Exercise × Genotype interaction term for age approached significance (F(1,19) = 3.99, p = .060) with the low-active e4 carriers being the youngest of the four groups. As such, age was entered as a covariate in all analyses, and subsequently excluded from analyses where age did not significantly contribute.

2.6. Cognitive tasks

Sternberg behavioral and MEG testing occurred on separate visits following the initial screening. The working memory tasks for both are described below.

2.7. Behavioral Sternberg testing

The Sternberg task was administered using Presentation software and a program downloaded from the Neuro-Behavioral Systems website (www.neurobs.com, Sarnthein, J.), then modified as follows. Participants were seated in front of a computer and asked to respond by pressing a button on the keyboard with their right thumb if the probe letter matched one of the stimulus letters (matching trials), while a yellow probe letter was presented visually for a duration of 3500 ms, or randomly from a set of 21 consonants. Following a 2000 ms pause after the fifth letter, a yellow probe letter was presented visually for a duration of 3500 ms, or until the subject responded. The participants were instructed to press a button with their right thumb if the probe letter matched one of the previous five letters, or to press a button with their left thumb if the probe letter did not. Following another 2000-ms pause, a second probe letter was presented to maximize the number of probe trials in a short time. For each series of trials there were eight stimulus stimuli consisting of a pre-stimulus cue, five letters, and two probe trials. The trial sequence was then presented 55 times to produce a total of 110 probe trials, to allow for a sufficient number of trials to yield high SNR neuromagnetic data.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>CAMCOG</th>
<th>YPAS exercise (kcal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30/24</td>
<td>59.9 (4.6)</td>
<td>95.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>8343.4 (4523.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e4–</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18/20</td>
<td>60.5 (4.5)</td>
<td>94.3 (3.8)</td>
<td>8166.8 (4604.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e4+</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12/4</td>
<td>58.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>95.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>8762.8 (4441.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEG participants</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>e4– low-active</th>
<th>e4+ low-active</th>
<th>e4– high-active</th>
<th>e4+ high-active</th>
<th>e4– active</th>
<th>e4+ active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e4+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>61.2 (3.8)</td>
<td>94.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>2398.9 (2105.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e4–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>56.9 (5.8)</td>
<td>95.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>2796.7 (1604.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-active</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>60.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>94.4 (4.6)</td>
<td>3361.7 (2008.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-active</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>60.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>95.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>3388.0 (1838.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall 23 8/15 59.5 (5.0) 94.8 (4.2) 2554.6 (1895.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall 38 18/20 60.5 (4.5) 94.3 (3.8) 8166.8 (4604.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall 16 12/4 58.6 (4.8) 95.1 (4.0) 8762.8 (4441.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents a significant difference between groups (p < .05). MEG participants in the high-active group scored significantly higher on the exercise portion of the YPAS than low-active participants. e4+ participants in the MEG testing were younger than e4– participants.

2.8. MEG Sternberg testing

A modified version of the Sternberg working memory task was presented visually using Presentation software and a program downloaded from the Neuro-Behavioral Systems website (www.neurobs.com, Sarnthein, J., Sternberg’s working memory task), which was further modified as follows. For the MEG testing, each sequence included five stimulus letters, and two probe letters. The stimulus letters were presented sequentially during MEG testing for further examination of cortical activity during sequential encoding trials with increased working memory load (data not presented here). Although the tasks were similar, the MEG presentation of the task differed from the more traditional Sternberg utilized in the behavioral testing, thus minimizing practice effects for the participants who completed both.

The MEG task consisted of a pre-stimulus cue “+”, followed by the five letters presented for 200 ms each, with a 1400-ms interval between each letter (1600 ms SOA) (see Fig. 1a). Letters subtended 8.2° of the visual angle when projected on the screen in front of them, were white on a black background, and were generated randomly from a set of 21 consonants. Following a 2000 ms pause after the fifth letter, a yellow probe letter was presented visually for a duration of 3500 ms, or until the subject responded. The participants were instructed to press a button with their right thumb if the probe letter matched one of the previous five letters, or to press a button with their left thumb if the probe letter did not. Following another 2000-ms pause, a second probe letter was presented to maximize the number of probe trials in a short time. For each series of trials there were eight stimulus stimuli consisting of a pre-stimulus cue, five letters, and two probe trials. The trial sequence was then presented 55 times to produce a total of 110 probe trials, to allow for a sufficient number of trials to yield high SNR neuromagnetic data.

Variable sizes of letter strings were not used for MEG testing because longer letter strings are known to result in longer RT. As such, the size of the preceding letter string (e.g. 4, 6, or 8) would influence latency of the averaged peaks in the subsequent event-related fields. Averaging across probe trials for different sized letter strings would therefore distort or smear the temporal sequence of each resultant MEG response. Therefore, for the purpose of acquiring an appropriate number of probe trials for averaging, all trials were five-letter sets. Furthermore, the moderate level of difficulty of the task was designed to yield similar task performance across groups, subsequently ruling out the potential that differences in cortical activation were due simply to differences in task-difficulty between groups.

Participants were instructed in the task prior to the MEG recording. Inside the MEG scanner they had the instructions read to them again while seeing the instructions on the screen in front of them. They were then given three series of practice trials prior to the test trials. The test trials and concurrent MEG recording began when subjects verbally reported understanding the task and were observed performing the task properly. The participants were instructed to answer as quickly and accurately as possible. Testing was conducted in the supine position with an MEG-optimized visual display 35.5 cm above their heads. Optical button pads were placed in each hand for behavioral responses with the right and left thumbs.

2.9. Matching trials

Only trials where the probe letter matched one of the stimulus letters (matching trials) were examined due to greater variability on “non-matching” trials in both RT and MEG response. When the probe letter does not match one of the previous stimulus letters, subjects continue to search working memory for variable periods of time. As such, our analysis focused only matching trials, where a matching of the probe letter to a letter maintained in working could occur.
2.10. MEG data collection and analysis

MEG testing was conducted using a 160-channel whole-head axial gradiometer system (KIT System, Kanazawa, Japan) in a magnetically shielded room. Continuous data were recorded with an online bandpass filter from 1 to 200 Hz with a 60-Hz notch filter at a sampling rate of 500 Hz.

The raw data files were subjected to a noise-reduction algorithm in Matlab 6.0 based on the CALM formula in the MEG160 software (Adachi et al., 2001). Following noise reduction the data were epoched and averaged on “matching” probe trials from 100 ms prior to stimulus onset to 1200 ms post-stimulus onset. Individual trials were visually inspected for blinks and movement artifact. Trials containing blinks or amplitudes of > 3 pT were rejected from analysis. Data further were examined from 0 to 600 ms post-stimulus onset due to excessive eye-blinks following 600 ms in most subjects. The averaged data were then baseline corrected from −100 ms to stimulus onset, low-pass filtered at 20 Hz, and exported into Matlab for further analysis.

The MEG channels were grouped into eight regions of interest including left frontal, midline frontal, right frontal, left temporal, right temporal, center-midline, left parietal, and right parietal (Fig. 1b). Root mean square (RMS) across channels for each region was calculated (Fig. 1c–e). RMS average amplitudes were then calculated in 50-ms windows from 0 to 600 ms for each region to generate a time series of 12 average amplitudes for each RMS for statistical analysis (Fig. 1f). Combined with the Experiment × Genotype design, this yielded a $2 \times 2 \times 12$ (time window) design with Exercise and Genotype treated as between subject factors, and repeated measures on the time factor.

2.11. Statistical analyses

2.11.1. Neuropsychological testing

To assess whether physical activity and APOE genotype influenced cognitive status, hierarchical regression was performed. For all 60 participants CAMCOG scores were regressed on physical activity (kcal), genotype ($\epsilon_4+$, $\epsilon_4-$), and the physical activity × Genotype interaction term, after entering age, gender, and education level into the regression equation.

2.11.2. Sternberg Behavioral testing

Performance scores on the behavioral testing were analyzed similarly for the 54 participants, controlling first for age, education, and gender. Percent correct and RT were then regressed independently on physical activity, genotype, and the interaction term. Separate analyses were conducted for the four-letter condition, the six-letter condition, and eight-letter condition on “matching” trials only. When the interaction term achieved significance, simple regressions were conducted separately for the $\epsilon_4+$ and $\epsilon_4-$ groups to determine which group exhibited significant relationship between physical activity and performance.

2.11.3. MEG behavioral analysis

Reaction time (RT) and percent correct were examined separately in 2 (Genotype) × 2 (Exercise) ANCOVAs using age and gender as covariates where appropriate.

2.11.4. MEG analysis

For the MEG data, 2 (Genotype) × 2 (Exercise) × 12 (Time) ANCOVAs with repeated measures on time were conducted separately on RMS for each of the eight regions on trials where the probe letter matched one of the previous stimulus letters. Age and gender were run as covariates on all RMS analyses, and reported when significant. Repeated measures analyses where sphericity was violated were Huynh–Feldt corrected, with the adjusted degrees of freedom reported. Bonferroni correction was applied to the MEG analysis to account for eight separate ANCOVAs, one for each of the brain regions. The alpha level (.05) was therefore divided by 8 to yield a critical $p$ value of .00625 to achieve significance. Significant omnibus tests were followed up with Tukey HSD post-hoc comparisons. Furthermore, specific RMS peaks that were shown to distinguish the groups based on the above criteria were examined for latency and subjected to source localization to better characterize the peaks of interest.

3. Results

3.1. Neuropsychological test

Hierarchical regression accounting for age, gender, and years of education revealed that CAMCOG scores were not significantly predicted by physical activity level, APOE genotype, or the interaction. Age alone predicted scores on the CAMCOG ($\Delta R^2 = .063, p = .053$).

3.2. Sternberg behavioral testing

3.2.1. Percent correct

Physical activity and genotype did not significantly predict percent correct for any of the three conditions, although the physical activity term approached significance in the eight-letter condition.
$\Delta R^2 = .042, p = .097$). The interaction term was not significant. Education level predicted correct responses in the six- and eight-letter conditions ($\Delta R^2 = .154, p = .004; \Delta R^2 = .142, p = .004$, respectively).

3.2.2. Reaction time

The physical activity × Genotype interaction term significantly predicted RT in both the six- and eight-letter conditions ($\Delta R^2 = .119, p = .012; \Delta R^2 = .073, p = .048$, respectively). Neither physical activity nor Genotype independently contributed when accounting for other variables and the interaction term. Post-hoc simple regressions revealed that physical activity was significantly associated with RT for the e4+ group, but not the e4− group in both the six-letter ($R^2 = .288, p = .032$) and eight-letter ($R^2 = .273, p = .038$) conditions. In e4 carriers greater physical activity levels were associated with faster RT for both the six-letter (Fig. 2a and b) and eight-letter conditions (Fig. 2c and d). No other predictors achieved significance for RT.

3.3. MEG analysis

3.3.1. MEG task performance

There were no differences between groups on percent correct or RT to the probe trials during MEG testing. Therefore, all groups performed the same on accuracy and speed for the task. The task administered was not optimized to elicit performance differences between groups, as there was only one level of difficulty (five letters). As such, any cortical activation findings are due to differential levels of activation given the same level of task difficulty, and not due to different degrees of task-difficulty between groups.

3.4. Neuromagnetic responses: root mean square (RMS) analysis

Typical MEG data from one participant are illustrated in Fig. 1c and d. Fig. 1c shows the response in all channels, averaged by trial type and filtered. Fig. 1d shows the cortical evoked field for one region of interest (e.g. the right temporal region). Fig. 1e shows the aggregate response within a particular region, calculated as the root mean square across the selected channels, and Fig. 1f represents the average amplitude of RMS in 50-ms windows.

3.5. Right temporal region

The only region exhibiting significant RMS differences between groups in the design was the right temporal region, where ANCOVA revealed a significant Exercise × Genotype interaction

![Fig. 2. Genotype × Exercise interaction for the six-letter (a and b) and eight-letter (c and d) behavioral Sternberg testing. e4 carriers (b and d) show decreased RT with increasing physical activity (kcal).](image-url)
Neither covariate (sex, age) contributed significantly to the analysis, and both were therefore excluded. Low-active e4 carriers exhibited the lowest overall RMS amplitude (0–600 ms) of the four groups in this region, and post-hoc tests revealed that the low-active e4+ group exhibited significantly lower RMS than the high-active e4+ group, and the low-active e4/C0 group (Fig. 3). High-active and low-active non-carriers did not differ on RMS.

Although the three-way interaction (Exercise × Genotype × Time) did not achieve significance, right temporal activation across the entire 600 ms epoch was examined visually for all four groups to identify potential activation peaks of interest. As illustrated in Fig. 4, the largest difference between groups occurred in the 150–200 ms time window, with the low-active e4+ group exhibiting a dramatically reduced peak relative to the other three groups.

Although the discrepancy between the sedentary e4 carriers and the other three groups on this peak appears visually dramatic, the lack of a statistically significant contribution of the time variable is likely due a decreased level of activation in the low-active e4+ group across the entire window. Visual examination of the temporal lobe peak warranted a more focused analysis of the regional activation in this time window. Therefore, a more specific amplitude and latency analysis was conducted of this peak on temporal lobe peak activation.

A temporal lobe peak in this time window on a visual task is consistent with an M170 peak reported in previous studies (Tarkiainen et al., 1999, 2002). A source localization performed on a high-active e4—subject who exhibited a strong temporal peak in this time window is represented in Fig. 4, and shows an occipito-temporal source consistent with M170 peaks reported in the literature, with the most likely source being the fusiform gyrus.

### 3.6. M170 analyses

Given the stimulus characteristics of the cognitive task (letters), the M170 peak might be expected to be more pronounced in the left temporal region for most participants, although some subjects do exhibit right hemisphere dominance of M170 to letter stimuli (Tarkiainen et al., 2002). Therefore, despite non-significant differences in left hemisphere activation in the initial design, temporal lobe peaks were examined in both the right and left hemisphere, and nested as such within the ANCOVA design. Left temporal activation for each of the four groups is depicted in Fig. 5.

Temporal lobe peaks were further explored by identifying the highest amplitude RMS peaks in the right and left temporal regions similar in latency to the M170 peaks described in the literature. However, as illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5, some participants did not
3.6.1. Amplitude

High-active subjects exhibited greater temporal peak amplitude relative to the low-active participants as indicated by the exercise main effect ($F(1,19) = 5.48, p = .030$) (Fig. 6a). Neither genotype nor hemisphere emerged as significant main effects or interactions.

3.6.2. Latency

$e^4$ carriers exhibited longer M170 latencies bilaterally as indicated by the genotype main effect ($F(1,19) = 11.97, p = .003$) (Fig. 6b). Both the main effect for exercise ($F(1,19) = 3.50, p = .077$) and the Exercise \times Genotype interaction ($F(1,19) = 2.89, p = .105$) approached significance. Once again, the hemisphere factor did not contribute significantly.

4. Discussion

Speed of processing and cortical activation during the Sternberg working memory task were examined in middle-aged carriers and non-carriers of the APOE $e^4$ allele. Physical activity was also assessed to examine whether exercise level modifies the relationship between APOE genotype and neurocognitive function. Our results are consistent with the reports of Schuit et al. (2001), Rovio et al. (2005), and Etnier et al. (2007), as regression revealed an interactive effect of physical activity and APOE genotype on speed of processing after controlling for age, gender, and education. Specifically, physical activity was associated with reduced reaction times in carriers of the $e^4$ allele, but not in the non-carriers, reinforcing the notion that populations genetically at risk for AD exhibit the most significant protective effects of exercise. Furthermore, a sub-sample of the population performed a variation of the Sternberg task during recording of continuous MEG, once again revealing an Exercise \times Genotype interaction with respect to cortical activation. Sedentary $e^4$ carriers exhibited decreased right temporal lobe activation relative to high-active $e^4$ carriers, while physical activity did not distinguish non-carriers. The mid-latency temporal lobe peak $M170$ was identified as a potential marker for pre-clinical decline as it differed with both APOE genotype (latency) and exercise level (amplitude).

The current findings reinforce the notion that the use of executive function tasks in which speed of processing is examined fosters the study of the impact of lifestyle factors and other preventive methods decades prior to onset of potential dementia. The physically active $e^4$ carriers in this sample appear to have preserved the efficiency of psychomotor processing similar to non-carriers of the allele, while the sedentary carriers required longer RT on the six- and eight-letter matching probe trials. Although physical activity has been known mitigate the age-related slowing of psychomotor speed for many years (Spirduso, 1980), the impact of exercise on AD-related aging has been less clear. The current data suggest that the speed of processing deficits reported previously in cognitively normal carriers of the $e^4$ allele (O’Hara et al., 2007) may be ameliorated somewhat through regular physical activity.

Potentially even more sensitive to pre-clinical decline than performance measures are latency and amplitude measures of cortical activation (Hillman et al., 2006; Wetter and Murphy, 2001). Our data indicate that $e^4$ genotype is associated with longer $M170$ latencies, consistent with Wetter and Murphy (2001) and Green and Levey (1999) who previously reported delayed ERP components in $e^4$ carriers. Increased exercise was expected to mitigate APOE-related differences based on the ‘classic’ speed of processing benefits in the exercise literature (Spirduso, 1980), and some recent evidence of decreased ERP latency with increased physical activity on executive attention tasks (Hillman et al., 2006).
In fact, the physically active participants in the current study did exhibit a trend towards shorter M170 latencies as both the main effect for exercise and the interaction with genotype approached significance.

The data also suggest that higher levels of physical activity are associated with greater amplitude of evoked temporal lobe response during recognition of matching probe letters. High-active participants exhibited greater bilateral M170 peak amplitude than sedentary participants in the temporal region. Increased levels of fitness or physical activity have commonly been associated with greater cortical activation during cognitive challenge (Colcombe et al., 2004; Hillman et al., 2006; Polish and Lardon, 1997), and have been attributed to an increased ability in high-fit participants to recruit task-relevant regions of the cortex (Colcombe et al., 2004). The current data are consistent with this notion as high-active participants exhibited greater M170 amplitude regardless of genotype.

The RMS examination of cortical activation showed a relationship between right temporal amplitude and exercise that was pronounced in carriers of the e4 allele but not in non-carriers. Sedentary e4 carriers exhibited the lowest level of right temporal lobe activation sustained across the 600 ms following onset of matching letters, while their high-active counterparts exhibited a cortical activation profile more consistent with the non-carriers (Fig. 3). Although no significant main effects for genotype emerged in the RMS analyses, the interaction with exercise in the right temporal region suggests a decreased level of temporal lobe activation in the sedentary e4 carriers that is not present in the high-active carriers.

Previous reports of APOE-related differences in cortical activation during cognitive challenge have been varied across studies (for a review see Wierenga and Bondi, 2007). Many fMRI studies have reported greater cortical activation in e4 carriers (Bondi et al., 2005; Bookheimer et al., 2000), while others have reported reduced activation (Lind et al., 2006; Smith et al., 1999). Greater cortical response has been interpreted as compensatory activation in e4 carriers necessary to overcome pre-clinical pathological encroachment (Wierenga and Bondi, 2007). Decreased activation in e4 subjects is generally viewed as a decreased ability to recruit appropriate neural resources. Discrepant findings in the literature are likely due to the variety of cognitive tasks employed and differences in the ages of the populations studied. Although the decreased activation in the right temporal region exhibited by the low-active e4 carriers in this study might be expected to be accompanied by a compensatory increase in activation in the frontal or other cortical regions, such as that observed by Maestu et al. (2001) in AD patients, no such effect emerged in our data. Our finding of decreased right temporal RMS amplitude in the sedentary e4 carriers is consistent with the findings of Borghesani et al. (2007) and Smith et al. (1999), which both reported decreased cortical response in the ventral visual stream of e4 carriers during tasks requiring visual object or letter recognition. Although Borghesani et al. only reported e4-related deficits during encoding and not during retrieval as we report here, altered activation of the medial temporal, and inferotemporal region during visual object identification is an emerging trend.

The M170 peak analysis presented here is consistent with functional e4-related differences in the ventral visual stream, as the source of the M170 peak shown in one ideal subject here and described previously in the literature suggests that the component originates in the occipito-temporal region. Activation of the ventral visual stream in this time window is believed represent object-level analysis of visual stimuli, and acts as a gateway to higher cognitive processes (Tarkiainen et al., 2002). Increased latency of the M170 peak in e4 carriers may represent a pre-clinical decrease in efficiency of cortical processing in this region, which may be mitigated somewhat by a physically active lifestyle.

Altered latency of cortical processing in this region illustrates the need for additional studies employing EEG and MEG, which afford the ability to examine latency of evoked cortical fields on the order of 1 ms, in stark contrast to haemodynamic measures which require several seconds. Although fMRI studies of abnormal brain function in carriers of the e4 allele are increasingly abundant, MEG and EEG studies are lacking. The behavioral data (six- and eight-letter Sternberg RT) and MEG data together suggest that speed of processing is similarly compromised, if not more compromised than magnitude of brain activation in e4 carriers and should be examined further.

The M170 component is also identified as a candidate for future research on pre-clinical cognitive decline and potential intervention strategies as we observed main effects for both APOE genotype (latency) and exercise level (amplitude). The hippocampal and temporal regions are subject to early decline in AD (Braak and Braak, 1991) and nearby cortical tissue reflects early functional changes, for example exhibiting compromised evoked responses that play a central role in visual object recognition. The fusiform gyrus in the temporal lobe has been identified as a primary generator of the M170 (Delfkhe et al., 2007), and has also been shown to exhibit deficits in cortical activation in e4 carriers relative to non-carriers (Borghesani et al., 2007). Follow-up studies can examine cognitive paradigms optimally designed to elicit the M170 component, such as face recognition tasks, and study the cortical dynamics of early visual processing in pre-clinical populations at risk for AD. The role of exercise or other potential intervention strategies to prevent decline in carriers of the e4 allele also warrants further study.
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